UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
--------------------------------------X
GRACIELA BRETSCHNEIDER DONCOUSE,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.
1:16-cv-9625

RACINES NYC LLC, A DOMESTIC LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AND JBM REALTY INC., A DOMESTIC BUSINESS CORPORATION,

Defendants.
--------------------------------------X

Plaintiff, GRACIELA BRETSCHNEIDER DONCOUSE, (hereinafter the "Plaintiff"), by and through her counsel, The Marks Law Firm, P.C., hereby files this Complaint and sues RACINES NYC LLC, a domestic limited liability company, and JBM REALTY INC., a domestic business corporation, both registered in the State of New York, (hereinafter collectively "Defendants"), for a) injunctive relief, b) compensatory relief, and c) attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12181, et. Seq., of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), the New York Human Rights Law ("NYCHRL"), and the New York State Human Rights Law ("NYSHRL") and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et. Seq. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343.

2. Venue is proper in this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(B) in that all events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the State of New York.

3. The violative Premises and the events giving rise to this lawsuit is located at 94 Chambers St, New York, New York 10007 (hereinafter "Premises").

4. Venue is proper in this Court as the premises is located in the State of New York, County of New York.

5. The Defendants RACINES NYC LLC and JBM REALTY INC. are the authorized to conduct, and are conducting business within the State of New York.

6. Upon information and belief, RACINES NYC LLC is the lessee and/or operator of the real property, and the owner of the improvements where the Premises is located which is the subject of this action, and the Premises. Defendant also maintains and controls the Premises.

7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, Defendants' Property, Racines NYC located at 94 Chambers St, New York, New York 10007, is a place of public accommodation in that it is an establishment which provides goods and services to the public.

8. Upon information and belief, JBM REALTY INC, is the owner, lessor and/or operator and managing agent of the real property where the Premises is located, which is the subject of this action, the facility commonly referred to as Racines NYC, which also maintains and controls the subject real property.

9. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, the building and/or Premises which is the subject to this action is a public accommodation covered by the ADA and which must be in compliance therewith.

10. The remedies provided by the NYSHRL against discrimination are not exclusive and state administrative remedies need not be exhausted in connection with suits brought under the Federal Civil Rights Act.

11. At the time of Plaintiff's visit to the Premises, and prior to the instant action, Plaintiff was/is a resident in the state of New York. Plaintiff is a paraplegic, which constitutes a "qualified disability" under the ADA of 1990. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility.

12. On November 18, 2016 at 7:11pm, Plaintiff attempted to enter Defendant's Premises, however because of the architectural barriers at the entrances of the store, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the facilities at Defendants' Property, which is subject to this instant litigation.

13. Defendants' violations impede upon Plaintiff's, and other similarly situated disabled individuals' right to travel free of discrimination.

COUNT I - VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

14. The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability. The Act guarantees reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities to ensure they are not the subject of discrimination.

15. The ADA and 2004 ADA/ABA Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities (36 CFR Part 1191, Appendices B and C) along with 28 CFR Part 36, Subpart D, the New Construction and Alterations portion of Title III (all hereinafter referred to as the "2010 Standards" or "Accessibility Standards") dictate that property owners and operators of commercial premises being used as "commercial establishments" are responsible for complying with these Federal Accessibility Standards.

16. The Plaintiff is informant and believes, and therefore alleges that the Premises has begun operations and/or undergone substantial remodeling, repairs and/or alterations since January 26, 1990.

17. Defendants have discriminated, and continue to discriminate, against the Plaintiff, and others that are similarly situated, by denying full and equal access to and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and/or accommodations at Defendants' Property, in violation of these Accessibility Standards.

18. The Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal safe access to, and the benefits of, all the accommodations and services offered at Defendants' property.

19. Plaintiff visited Defendants' property with the intention of utilizing Defendants' facilities, but was denied access to the Subject Property, and therefore suffered an injury in fact. In addition, Plaintiff continues to reside in New York and will continue to desire to visit the Subject Property in the future, but continues to be injured in that she is unable to and continues to be discriminated against due to the architectural barriers which remain at the Subject Property, all in violation of the ADA, and New York State and City Human Rights Law.

20. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), On July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of Attorney General, promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA, known as the American with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (hereinafter "ADAAG"), 28 C.F.R. Part 36, under which said Department may obtain civil penalties of up to $55,000 for the first violation and $110,000 for any subsequent violation.

21. The Defendants' Premises is in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12181 et. Seq., ADA and the 2010 Standards, and is discriminating against the Plaintiff as a result of inter alia the following specific violations:

a. Failure to provide an accessible entrance at street level door, due to the step at the entrances, and the failure to install a ramp with appropriate slope and signage, and/or otherwise provide accessible and properly designated entrance. This barrier represent an insurmountable barrier to independent entry by the Plaintiff and other individuals who use wheelchairs.

b. Failure to provide a safe and accessible means of egress for emergencies.

c. Failure to provide adequate directional and accurate informational signage throughout the Premises.

d. Failure to provide accessible bathroom accommodations.

e. Failure to provide signage addressing people with disabilities informing them that accessible services are provided.

22. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of, inter alia, the ADA at Defendants' Property, and only once a full inspection is done can all said violations be identified.

23. To date, the barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

24. Pursuant to ADA, 42 U.S.C. §1201 et. Seq. and the Accessibility Standards, the Defendants were required to make the Premises, a place of public accommodation, accessible to person with disabilities since January 28, 1992. To date, the Defendants have failed to comply with this mandate.

25. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant the Plaintiff injunctive relief; including an order to alter the Premises to make them readily

accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by ADA, and closing the Subject Facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

COUNT II – VIOLATIONS OF THE NEW YORK CITY HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

26. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges each and every allegation contained hereinabove in paragraphs "1" through "26" inclusive of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.

27. The New York City Human Rights Law provides:

a. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place or provider of public accommodation because of the actual or perceived…disability…of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof…to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and or denied to any person on account of…disability…

NYC Admin. Code §8-107(4)(a).

28. Defendants are in violation of the New York City Human Rights Law by denying the Plaintiff full and safe access to all of the benefits, accommodations and services of the Premises.

COUNT III – VIOLATIONS OF THE NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges each and every allegation contained hereinabove in paragraphs "1" through "29" inclusive of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.

30. The New York State Human Rights Law provides:

a. It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any place of public accommodation…because of the…disability…of any person, directly, or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, or privileges thereof…to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of…disability…

NYS Exec. Law §296 (2)(a).

31. Defendant's property is a place of public accommodation as defined in the New York State Human Right Law.

32. The Defendants have further violated the New York State Human Rights Law by being in violation of the rights provided under the ADA.

33. Defendants are in violation of the New York State Human Rights law by denying the Plaintiff full and safe access to all of the benefits, accommodations and services of the Premises.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

34. The Plaintiff demands compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by proof, including all applicable statutory damages and fines, for violations of their civil rights under New York State Human Rights Law and City Claw, including compensatory damages contemplated by §297(4)(c).

35. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant the Plaintiff's injunctive relief; including an order to alter the Subject Facilities to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, the New York City Human Rights Law, and the New York State Human Rights law, and closing the Subject Facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

36. Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit as provided by state and federal law;

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff hereby demands judgment against the Defendants joint and severally and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

a. The Court declares the Subject Property and Premises owned, operated, leased, controlled, and/or administered by the Defendants are in violation of the ADA, the New York City Human Rights Law, and of the New York State Human Rights Law;

b. The Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to alter their facilities and amenities to make them accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA and by NYCHRL, and the NYSHRL;

c. The Court enter an order directing the Defendants to evaluate and neutralize their policies, practices and procedures toward persons with disabilities, for such reasonable time to as to allow the Defendants to undertake and complete corrective procedures to the Premises;

d. The Court award compensatory damages, including all applicable statutory damages and fines, to Plaintiff;

e. The Court award reasonable attorney fees, all costs (including but not limited to court costs, expert fees, etc.) and other expenses of suit to the Plaintiff; and

f. The Court award such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2016

THE MARKS LAW FIRM, PC

By:__________________________

BRADLY G. MARKS
Attorney for Plaintiff
175 Varick Street, 3rd Fl
New York, NY 10014
T:(646) 770-3775
F: (646) 770- 2639
Brad@markslawfirm.net

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GRACIELA BRETSCHNEIDER DONCOUSE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RACINES NYC LLC, A DOMESTIC LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AND JBM REALTY INC., A DOMESTIC BUSINESS CORPORATION,

Defendants,

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

THE MARKS LAW FIRM, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
175 Varick Street, 3rd FL
New York, NY 10014